Waxman Wexler Woolsey
Weiner Wilson Wu

NOES—183

Armey Goodlatte Pickerin

Pickering Bachus Goodling Pitts Pombo Baker Ballenger Graham Porter Barrett (NE) Granger Portman Green (WI) Bartlett Pryce (OH) Barton Greenwood Quinn Bass Gutknecht Řadanovich Biggert Hansen Ramstad Hastings (WA) Bilirakis Regula Reynolds Bliley Hayes Hayworth Blunt Rogan Boehlert Herger Rogers Hill (MT) Rohrabacher Boehner Bonilla Hilleary Ros-Lehtinen Hobson Bono Rovce Brady (TX) Ryan (WI) Hoekstra Bryant Hostettler Ryun (KS) Houghton Sabo Burr Burton Hunter Salmon Buver Hutchinson Sanford Callahan Isakson Scarborough Calvert Istook Schaffer Sensenbrenner Camp Jenkins. Canady Johnson (CT) Sessions Cannon Johnson, Sam Shadegg Castle Kelly Sherwood King (NY) Chabot Shimkus Kingston Knollenberg Chambliss Simpson Chenoweth-Hage Skeen Smith (MI) Coble Kuykendali Coburn Smith (TX) Smith (WA) LaHood Collins Largent Combest Latham Souder Cook Lewis (CA) Spence Lewis (KY) Cox Stearns Cubin Linder Stump Lucas (OK) Cunningham Davis (VA) Sununu Manzullo Sweeney Deal McCrery Taylor (NC) DeLay DeMint McHugh McKeon Terry Diaz-Balart Thomas Mica Miller (FL) Doolittle Thornberry Miller, Gary Dreier Thune Duncan Minge Tiahrt Moran (KS) Dunn Toomey Ehlers Myrick Traficant Ehrlich Nethercutt Upton Ney Northup English Vitter Everett Walden Ewing Norwood Walsh Nussle Wamp Weldon (FL) Fletcher Foley Oberstar Fossella Ose Weldon (PA) Packard Weller Frelinghuysen Whitfield Gallegly Paul Gekas Pease Wicker Gibbons Peterson (MN) Wolf Gilchrest Peterson (PA) Young (AK) Goode Petri Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-79

Abercrombie Gordon Metcalf Moran (VA) Allen Gutierrez Hastings (FL) Archer Murtha Barr Hefley Owens Becerra Hilliard Oxley Bereuter Hulshof Pascrell Bishop Hyde Pickett Kaniorski Boucher Riley Sanchez Brady (PA) Kaptur Brown (FL) Kasich Shaw Campbell Kennedy Shavs Clay Kilpatrick Shuster Klink Clayton Snyder Convers Kolbe Spratt Cooksey LaFalce Stark Crane Crowley Lantos Stupak LaTourette Talent Tancredo Danner Lazio Thompson (MS) Visclosky Davis (IL) Lipinski Delahunt Martinez McCollum Watkins Dickey Dooley McInnis Watts (OK) McIntosh Weygand Forbes Fowler McIntyre Frank (MA) Meehan Meek (FL) Wynn Franks (NJ)

2055

Gillmor

Messrs. CANADY of Florida, ISTOOK and MINGE and Mrs. CHENOWETH-

HAGE and Mrs. KELLY changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

So the motion to instruct was not agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

HOUR OF MEETING ON MONDAY, OCTOBER 30. 2000

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. Speaker, I move that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 9 a.m. tomorrow for morning hour debate, and 10 a.m. for legislative business.

The motion was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate from Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed without amendment a joint resolution of the House of the following title:

H.J. Res. 119. Joint Resolution making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2001, and for other purposes.

HOW MUCH IS ENOUGH?

(Mr. HAYWORTH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, there is a simple question we confront tonight as we have moved in this common sense Congress to reach compromise and consensus in a bipartisan fashion. That is, after agreeing to many provisions on both sides of the aisle, with what some would call reasonable and others would call overly generous spending packages, Mr. Speaker, we are facing this question: How much is enough?

I would turn to the legislation we passed at midweek last week in this 106th Congress, reasonable plans that offered tax relief, but more importantly, ordered a Medicare refinement and restoration plan needed for our hospitals, needed for our home health care, needed for our nursing homes, and other provisions actually requested by the President of the United States who came to Arizona to embrace a new markets initiative, part and parcel of the bill we passed last week, and yet sadly so many people on the other side voted against it.

Mr. Speaker, how much is enough?

HOW MUCH MORE DOES THE PRESIDENT WANT?

(Mr. GUTKNECHT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, I think a lot of the American people are surprised that the Congress is still in

session. I think a lot of people back in my district cannot believe that we have not resolved our differences. This chart is a little hard to read, but it follows on with what the gentleman from Arizona was talking about. What it shows in red is what the President requested in each of his budget requests per category.

On Education, Labor, HHS, the chart is about the same. Agriculture, right on down the line. In fact, in one of the areas in the Defense budget we are actually giving more than he requested. By the time we are done with this bill that we debated so hotly tonight, at least the motion to instruct, we are going to give the President significantly more than he originally requested, which leads to the real question that not only we in Congress but the American people, and frankly, members of the working press, ought to be asking the President of the United States: How much is enough?

2100

Now, we have been willing to meet with the President to negotiate in good faith. We have met him more than halfway. But we should not be in session today. How much is enough, Mr. President?

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, yesterday, October 29, 2000, I was unavoidably detained and missed two rollcall votes, Nos. 572 and 573. I would like the RECORD to reflect that I would have voted "yes" on rollcall No. 572 and "yes" on rollcall No. 573.

CONGRESS FIGHTING BATTLE OVER BUDGET

(Mr. EHLERS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EHLERS. Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure to be here this evening. This is an historic event. We have never met this late in our legislative season since World War II. But perhaps this is not all bad. We are fighting a battle here, too; and that battle is to keep the budget down.

Over the past few years, when we approached this point, the President demanded more spending. In order to wrap up this session and get home for elections, we capitulated.

This year we are not going to do that. The President is trying to shanghai us by saying, we will only let you go for 24 hours. You have to be here every day, even though there is nothing to do, because they are not negotiating.

I think it is rather unique. But we are here. We are willing to work. We are eager to work. Unfortunately, the President has been out on the West Coast raising money. But as soon as he gets back and as soon as he is willing to negotiate with us, we are ready and